

Key, Lori

From: NPP Solutions [REDACTED] >
Sent: Sunday, October 10, 2021 5:23 PM
To: ClerkoftheBoard
Subject: VCSO's Collaboration with ICE & Related Immigration, BOS 10/12

CAUTION: If this email looks suspicious, DO NOT click. Forward to Spam.Manager@ventura.org

October 11, 2021

Ventura County Board of Supervisors
800 S. Victoria Avenue
Ventura, CA
Cc: Ventura County Sheriff

Re: VCSO's Collaboration with ICE & Related Immigration, BOS 10/12

Dear Supervisors:

NPPsolutions.org (NPPS) stands for "no party preference solutions. NPPS's goal is to provide systems solutions to complex public policy problems, involving creation of healthy institutions and organizational structures, asking questions, identifying facts, and probing cause-effects relationships. With these notions in mind, we offer our thoughts, below, on the 10/12/22 agenda item.

Kindest regards,

Charles Spraggins
[REDACTED]

Summary/Preliminary Postulates:

1. Data does not substantiate the idea that migrants without legal status are induced (by SB54) to report crimes.
2. SB54 does not contribute to public safety - but has contributed to an increase in crime and human-trafficking.
3. SB54 and AB2792 should be repealed.

General Discussion/Data/Consistency with major objectives:

Crime

Reviewing crime data is a complicated process of analyzing info from the FBI and Bureau of Justice Statistics. The Pew Research Center (11/20/20) asked: "What percentage of crimes are reported to the police?", and found: "Most violent and property crimes in the U.S. are not reported to police." If U.S. Citizens themselves are not reporting most crimes, should anyone believe that migrants are reporting crimes because of SB54?

Rather than reducing crime, news (of California's Sanctuary laws) has spread via the internet around the world and encouraged more illegal migration to the USA. Attempts at illegal entry are at a 21 year high. In attempting to get to the

USA, migrants are robbed, kidnapped, assaulted and killed. If SB54 has induced an increase in trafficking, would it be appropriate to conclude that SB54 has led to increased crime?

NPPsolutions.org / SOWV.org

Public Policy Matrix Review -- California Immigration Laws

Grade Rating Range (A-F)

	<u>AB2792</u>	<u>SB54</u>
1. A priori, there was a system analysis and all consequences identified:	F	F
2. Ex post facto, periodic reviews determined law achieved intended objectives:	F	F
3. Ex post facto, periodic reviews identified unintended negative consequences:	F	F
4. Positive contribution to long term environmental objectives:	F	F
4(A). Minimizes overpopulation (major driver of environmental decline):	F	F
4(B). Reduces negative climate change trends:	F	F
5. Positive Contribution to long term social progress objectives:	D-	D-
5(A). Reduces inequality (http://WID.world/country/USA) :	D-	D-
5(B). Enhances human needs, e.g. housing availability:	F	F
5(C). Reduces racism:	F	F
5(D). Enhances public perception that justice system is fair and equitable:	D-	F
6. Positive contribution to long term economic objectives:	D-	D-
6(A). Helps balance government budgets:	F	F
6(B). Has a favorable impact on foreign trade:	D-	D-
OVERALL RATING	D-	D-